
BLOCH AND KATO'S EXPONENTIAL MAP: THREE EXPLICIT FORMULAS

by

Laurent Berger

Abstract. — The purpose of this article is to give formulas for Bloch-Kato's exponential map and its dual for an absolutely crystalline p -adic representation V , in terms of the (φ, Γ) -module associated to that representation. As a corollary of these computations, we can give a very simple (and slightly improved) description of Perrin-Riou's exponential map (which interpolates Bloch-Kato's exponentials for $V(k)$). This new description directly implies Perrin-Riou's reciprocity formula.

Contents

Introduction	2
I. Periods of p -adic representations	3
I.1. Notations	3
I.2. p -adic Hodge theory	4
I.3. (φ, Γ) -modules	6
I.4. p -adic representations and differential equations	7
I.5. Construction of cocycles	8
II. Explicit formulas for exponential maps	10
II.1. Bloch-Kato's exponential map	10
II.2. Bloch-Kato's dual exponential map	12
II.3. Iwasawa theory of p -adic representations	14
II.4. Perrin-Riou's exponential map	15
II.5. The explicit reciprocity formula	17
Appendix A. The structure of $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$	19
References	21

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 11S, 14F30.

Key words and phrases. — Bloch-Kato's exponential, Perrin-Riou's exponential, p -adic representations, Galois cohomology.

This research was partially conducted by the author for the Clay Mathematical Institute.

Introduction

Let p be a prime number, and let V be a p -adic representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ where K is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Such objects arise (for example) as the étale cohomology of algebraic varieties, hence their interest in arithmetic algebraic geometry.

Let $\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$ be the rings of periods of Fontaine, and let $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$ be the invariants attached to V by Fontaine's construction. Bloch and Kato have defined (in [4]), for a de Rham representation V , an “exponential” map, $\exp_{K,V} : \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V) \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$. It is obtained by tensoring the so-called fundamental exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}/\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow 0$$

with V and taking the invariants under the action of G_K . The exponential map is then the connecting homomorphism $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V) \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$.

The reason for their terminology is the following (cf [4, 3.10.1]): if G is a formal Lie group of finite height over $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $V = \mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T$ where T is the p -adic Tate module of G , then V is a de Rham representation and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$ is identified with the tangent space $\tan(G(K))$ of $G(K)$. In this case, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tan(G(K)) & \xrightarrow{\exp_G} & \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} G(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \downarrow & & \delta_G \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{K,V}} & H^1(K, V), \end{array}$$

where δ_G is the Kummer map, the upper $\exp_G$ is the usual exponential map, and the lower $\exp_{K,V}$ is Bloch-Kato's exponential map.

The cup product $\cup : H^1(K, V) \times H^1(K, V^*(1)) \rightarrow H^2(K, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \mathbf{Q}_p$ defines a perfect pairing, which we can use (by dualizing twice) to define Bloch and Kato's dual exponential map $\exp_{K,V^*(1)}^* : H^1(K, V) \rightarrow \text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$.

Finally, Perrin-Riou has constructed in [12] a period map $\Omega_{V,h}$ which interpolates the $\exp_{K,V(k)}$ as k runs over the positive integers. It is a crucial ingredient in the construction of p -adic L functions, and is a vast generalization of Coleman's map.

The goal of this article is to give formulas for $\exp_{K,V}$, $\exp_{K,V^*(1)}^*$, and $\Omega_{V,h}$ in terms of the (φ, Γ) -module associated to V by Fontaine. As a corollary, we recover a theorem of Colmez which states that Perrin-Riou's map interpolates the $\exp_{K,V^*(1-k)}^*$ as k runs over the negative integers. This is equivalent to Perrin-Riou's conjectured reciprocity formula. Our construction of $\Omega_{V,h}$ is actually a slight improvement over Perrin-Riou's (one does not have to kill the Λ -torsion, see remark II.12).

We refer the reader to the text itself for a statement of the actual formulas (theorems II.2, II.6 and II.11) which are rather technical.

This article does not really contain any new results, and it is mostly a re-interpretation of formulas of Cherbonnier-Colmez (for the dual exponential map), and of Colmez and Benois (for Perrin-Riou's map) in the language of the author's article "*p*-adic representations and differential equations".

I. Periods of *p*-adic representations

I.1. Notations

Throughout this article, k will denote a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$, so that $F = W(k)[1/p]$ is a finite unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, let K be a finite totally ramified extension of F , and let $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K . Let μ_{p^n} be the group of p^n th roots of unity; for every n , we will choose a generator $\varepsilon^{(n)}$ of μ_{p^n} , with the additional requirement that $(\varepsilon^{(n)})^p = \varepsilon^{(n-1)}$. This makes $\varprojlim_n \varepsilon^{(n)}$ into a generator of $\varprojlim_n \mu_{p^n} \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p(1)$. We set $K_n = K(\mu_{p^n})$ and $K_\infty = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n$. Recall that the cyclotomic character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ is defined by the relation: $g(\varepsilon^{(n)}) = (\varepsilon^{(n)})^{\chi(g)}$ for all $g \in G_K$. The kernel of the cyclotomic character is $H_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/K_\infty)$, and χ therefore identifies $\Gamma_K = G_K/H_K$ with an open subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$. The torsion subgroup of Γ_K will be denoted by Δ_K . We also set $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K_n)$. When $p \neq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ (or $p = 2$ and $n \geq 2$), Γ_n is torsion free. If $x \in 1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$, then there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $\log_p(x) \in p^k\mathbf{Z}_p$ and we'll write $\log_p^0(x) = \log_p(x)/p^k$.

The completed group algebra of Γ_K is $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]] \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p[\Delta_K] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_1]]$, and we set $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_K) = \mathbf{Q}_p[\Delta_K] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ where $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ is the set of $f(\gamma - 1)$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ and where $f(X) \in \mathbf{Q}_p[[X]]$ is convergent on the *p*-adic open unit disk. Examples of elements of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_K)$ are the ∇_i (which are Perrin-Riou's ℓ_i 's), defined by $\nabla_i = \log(\gamma)/\log_p(\chi(\gamma)) - i$. We will also use the operator $\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1)$, where γ_n is a topological generator of Γ_n (see [2, 4.1]). It is defined by the formula:

$$\frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n - 1} = \frac{\log(\gamma_n)}{\log_p(\chi(\gamma_n))(\gamma_n - 1)} = \frac{1}{\log_p(\chi(\gamma_n))} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - \gamma_n)^{n-1}}{n},$$

or equivalently by

$$\frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n - 1} = \lim_{\Gamma \ni \eta \rightarrow 1} \frac{\eta - 1}{\gamma_n - 1} \frac{1}{\log_p(\chi(\eta))}.$$

It is easy to see that $\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1)$ acts on F_n by $1/\log_p(\chi(\gamma_n))$.

The algebra acts $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_K)$ on $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ and one can check that

$$\nabla_i = t \frac{d}{dt} - i = \log(1 + \pi) \partial - i, \quad \text{where} \quad \partial = (1 + \pi) \frac{d}{d\pi}.$$

In particular, $\nabla_0 \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \subset t \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ and if $i \geq 1$, then $\nabla_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0 \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \subset t^i \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$.

A p -adic representation V is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -vector space with a continuous linear action of G_K . It is easy to see that there is always a $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lattice of V which is stable by the action of G_K , and such lattices will be denoted by T . The main strategy (due to Fontaine, see for example [10]) for studying p -adic representations of a group G is to construct topological $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -algebras B , endowed with an action of G and some additional structures so that if V is a p -adic representation, then $D_B(V) = (B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^G$ is a B^G -module which inherits these structures, and so that the functor $V \mapsto D_B(V)$ gives interesting invariants of V . We say that a p -adic representation V of G is B -admissible if we have $B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V \simeq B^d$ as $B[G]$ -modules.

I.2. p -adic Hodge theory

In this paragraph, we will recall the definitions of Fontaine's rings of periods. One can find some of these constructions in [9]. Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathbf{C}_p = \{(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) \mid (x^{(i+1)})^p = x^{(i)}\},$$

and let $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ be the set of $x \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ such that $x^{(0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$. If $x = (x^{(i)})$ and $y = (y^{(i)})$ are two elements of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, we define their sum $x + y$ and their product xy by:

$$(x + y)^{(i)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(i+j)} + y^{(i+j)})^{p^j} \quad \text{and} \quad (xy)^{(i)} = x^{(i)} y^{(i)},$$

which makes $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ into an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . If $x = (x^{(n)})_{n \geq 0} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, let $v_{\mathbf{E}}(x) = v_p(x^{(0)})$. This is a valuation on $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ for which $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is complete; the ring of integers of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ be the ring $W(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+)$ of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ and let $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+[1/p] = \{\sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k [x_k], x_k \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+\}$ where $[x] \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ is the Teichmüller lift of $x \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$. This ring is endowed with a map $\theta : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$ defined by the formula $\theta(\sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k [x_k]) = \sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k x_k^{(0)}$. Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon^{(i)}) \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ where $\varepsilon^{(n)}$ is defined above, and define $\pi = [\varepsilon] - 1$, $\pi_1 = [\varepsilon^{1/p}] - 1$, $\omega = \pi/\pi_1$ and $q = \varphi(\omega) = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$. One can show that $\ker(\theta : \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+)$ is the principal ideal generated by ω .

Notice that $\varepsilon \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ and that $v_{\mathbf{E}}(\varepsilon - 1) = p/(p - 1)$. We define $\mathbf{E}_F = k((\varepsilon - 1))$ and $\mathbf{E}$ as the separable closure of $\mathbf{E}_F$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, as well as $\mathbf{E}^+ = \mathbf{E} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$, the ring of integers of $\mathbf{E}$. By definition, $\mathbf{E}$ is separably closed and $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is the completion of its purely inseparable closure.

The ring $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ is defined to be the completion of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ for the $\ker(\theta)$ -adic topology:

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ = \varprojlim_{n \geq 0} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ / (\ker(\theta)^n).$$

It is a discrete valuation ring, whose maximal ideal is $\ker(\theta)$; the series which defines $\log([\varepsilon])$ converges in $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ to an element t , which is a generator of the maximal ideal, so that $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+[1/t]$ is a field, endowed with an action of G_F and a filtration defined by $\text{Fil}^i(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}) = t^i \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

We say that a representation V of G_K is de Rham if it is $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$ -admissible which is equivalent to the fact that the filtered K -vector space $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ is of dimension $d = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(V)$.

The ring $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+$ is defined as being

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+ = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{\omega^n}{p^n} \text{ where } a_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ \text{ is sequence converging to } 0 \right\},$$

and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+[1/t]$. One could replace ω by any generator of $\ker(\theta)$. The ring $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}$ injects canonically into $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$ and, in particular, it is endowed with the induced Galois action and filtration, as well as with a continuous Frobenius φ , extending the map $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ coming from $x \mapsto x^p$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$. Let us point out that φ does not extend continuously to $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$. One also sets $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ = \cap_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi^n(\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+)$.

We say that a representation V of G_K is crystalline if it is $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}$ -admissible or (which is the same) $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+[1/t]$ -admissible (the periods of crystalline representations live in finite dimensional F -vector subspaces of $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}$, stable by φ , and so in fact in $\cap_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi^n(\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+)[1/t]$); this is equivalent to requiring that the F -vector space

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$$

be of dimension $d = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(V)$. Then $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ is endowed with a Frobenius φ induced by that of $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}$, and $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} = \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V) = K \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ so that a crystalline representation is also de Rham and $K \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ is a filtered K -vector space.

If V is a p -adic representation, we say that V is Hodge-Tate, with Hodge-Tate weights $h_1, \dots, h_d$, if we have a decomposition $\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V \simeq \oplus_{j=1}^d \mathbf{C}_p(h_j)$. In this case, we see that $(\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K} \simeq \oplus_{j=1}^d \hat{K}_{\infty}(h_j)$ and one can show that $\mathbf{D}_{\text{Sen}}(V) = (\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)_{\text{fin}}^{H_K}$, which is by definition the union of the finite dimensional K_{∞} -vector subspaces of $(\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$ which are stable by Γ_K , is equal to $\oplus_{j=1}^d K_{\infty}(h_j)$.

The K_∞ -vector space $\mathbf{D}_{\text{Sen}}(V)$ is endowed with a residual action of Γ_K and if $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ is sufficiently close to 1, then the series of operators $\log(\gamma)/\log_p(\chi(\gamma))$ converges to a K_∞ -linear operator $\nabla_V : \mathbf{D}_{\text{Sen}}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Sen}}(V)$ which does not depend on the choice of γ , and which is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $h_1, \dots, h_d$. We will say that V is positive if its Hodge-Tate weights are negative (the definition of the sign of the Hodge-Tate weights is unfortunate; some people change the sign and talk about geometrical weights). By using the map $\theta : \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$, it is easy to see that the Hodge-Tate weights of V are those integers h such that $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V) \neq \text{Fil}^{-h+1} \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$.

I.3. (φ, Γ) -modules

See [11] for a reference. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}[1/p]$. Let $\mathbf{A}_F$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_F[\pi, \pi^{-1}]$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ for this ring's topology, which is also the completion of $\mathcal{O}_F[[\pi]][\pi^{-1}]$ for the p -adic topology (π being small in $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$). This is a discrete valuation ring whose residual field is $\mathbf{E}_F$. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the completion for the p -adic topology of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{B}_F = \mathbf{A}_F[1/p]$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$. We then define $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathbf{A}^+ = \mathbf{A} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$. These rings are endowed with an action of Galois and a Frobenius deduced from those on $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$. We set $\mathbf{A}_K = \mathbf{A}^{H_K}$ and $\mathbf{B}_K = \mathbf{A}_K[1/p]$. When $K = F$, the two definitions are the same. One also sets $\mathbf{B}^+ = \mathbf{A}^+[1/p]$, and $\mathbf{B}_F^+ = (\mathbf{B}^+)^{H_F}$ as well as $\mathbf{A}_F^+ = (\mathbf{A}^+)^{H_F}$.

If V is a p -adic representation of G_K , let $\mathbf{D}(V) = (\mathbf{B} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$. We know by [11] that $\mathbf{D}(V)$ is a d -dimensional $\mathbf{B}_K$ -vector space with a Frobenius and a residual action of Γ_K which commute (it is a (φ, Γ_K) -module) and that one can recover V by the formula $V = (\mathbf{D}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K} \mathbf{B})^{\varphi=1}$.

The field $\mathbf{B}$ is a totally ramified extension (because the residual extension is purely inseparable) of degree p of $\varphi(\mathbf{B})$. The Frobenius map $\varphi : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is injective but therefore not surjective, but we can define a left inverse for φ , which will play a major role in the sequel. We set: $\psi(x) = \varphi^{-1}(p^{-1} \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{B}/\varphi(\mathbf{B})}(x))$.

Let us now set $K = F$. We say that a p -adic representation V of G_F is of finite height if $\mathbf{D}(V)$ has a basis over $\mathbf{B}_F$ made up of elements of $\mathbf{D}^+(V) = (\mathbf{B}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_F}$. A result of Fontaine ([11] or [8, III.2]) shows that V is of finite height if and only if $\mathbf{D}(V)$ has a sub- $\mathbf{B}_F^+$ -module which is free of finite rank d , and stable by φ . Let us recall the main result (due to Colmez, see [8] or also [2, theorem 3.10]) regarding crystalline representations of G_F : if V is a crystalline representation of G_F , then V is of finite height.

If $K \neq F$ or if V is no longer crystalline, then it is no longer true in general that V is of finite height, but it is still possible to say something about the periods of V . Every element $x \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ can be written in a unique way as $x = \sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k [x_k]$, where $x_k \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$. For $r > 0$, let us set:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r} = \left\{ x \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v_{\mathbf{E}}(x_k) + \frac{pr}{p-1}k = +\infty \right\}.$$

This makes $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}$ into an intermediate ring between $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$. Let us set $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r} = \mathbf{B} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger} = \cup_{r \geq 0} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}$, and $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger} = \cup_{r \geq 0} \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}$. If R is any of the above rings, then by definition $R_K = R^{H_K}$.

We say that a p -adic representation V is overconvergent if $\mathbf{D}(V)$ has a basis over $\mathbf{B}_K$ made up of elements of $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V) = (\mathbf{B}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$. The main result on the overconvergence of p -adic representations of G_K is the following (cf [5, 6]):

Theorem I.1. — *Every p -adic representation V of G_K is overconvergent, that is there exists $r(V)$ such that $\mathbf{D}(V) = \mathbf{B}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r(V)}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r(V)}(V)$.*

The terminology “overconvergent” can be explained by the following proposition, which describes the rings $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}$. Let $e_K = [K_{\infty} : F_{\infty}]$:

Proposition I.2. — *Let $\mathcal{B}_F^{\alpha}$ be the set of power series $f(X) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} a_k X^k$ such that a_k is a bounded sequence of elements of F , and such that $f(X)$ is holomorphic on the p -adic annulus $\{p^{-1/\alpha} \leq |T| < 1\}$.*

There exists $r(K)$ and $\pi_K \in \mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r(K)}$ such that if $r \geq r(K)$, then the map $f \mapsto f(\pi_K)$ from $\mathcal{B}_F^{e_K r}$ to $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}$ is an isomorphism. If $K = F$, then one can take $\pi_F = \pi$.

I.4. p -adic representations and differential equations

We shall now recall some of the results of [2], which allow us to recover $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ from the (φ, Γ) -module of V . Let $\mathcal{H}_F^{\alpha}$ be the set of power series $f(X) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} a_k X^k$ such that a_k is a sequence (not necessarily bounded) of elements of F , and such that $f(X)$ is holomorphic on the p -adic annulus $\{p^{-1/\alpha} \leq |T| < 1\}$.

For $r \geq r(K)$, define $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ as the set of $f(\pi_K)$ where $f(X) \in \mathcal{H}_F^{e_K r}$. Obviously, $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$. If V is a p -adic representation, let $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r}(V)$.

One of the main technical tools of [2] is the construction of a large ring $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$, which contains $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}$, so that $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \subset (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t] \subset (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$. We then have (cf [2, theorem 3.6]): $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_F}$.

Let us now return to the case when $K = F$ and V is a crystalline representation of G_F . In this case, one can give a more precise result. Let $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ be the set of $f(\pi)$ where $f(X) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k X^k$ where $a_k \in F$, and $f(X)$ is holomorphic on the p -adic open unit disk. Set $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_F^+} \mathbf{D}^+(V)$. One can then show (cf [3]): $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_F}$.

1.5. Construction of cocycles

The purpose of this paragraph is to recall the constructions of [6, I.5] and extend them a little bit. In this paragraph and in the next, V will be an arbitrary p -adic representation of G_K . Recall that in loc. cit., a map $h_{K,V}^1 : \mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$ was constructed, and that (when Γ_K is torsion free at least) it gives rise to an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(V)_{\Gamma_K}^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{h_{K,V}^1} H^1(K, V) \longrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathbf{D}(V)}{\psi-1} \right)^{\Gamma_K} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We shall extend $h_{K,V}^1$ to a map $h_{K,V}^1 : \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$. We will first need a few facts about the ring of periods $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$ and the modules $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V)$.

Lemma I.3. — *If $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, then $1 - \gamma : \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. — Recall that $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ is the completion of $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}$ for the Fréchet topology (see [2, 2.6]), so that $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V)$ is the completion of $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r}(V)$ for the Fréchet topology. The lemma then follows from the fact that by [5, II.6.1], if $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, then $1 - \gamma : \mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\dagger,r}(V)$ is a bi-continuous isomorphism for the Fréchet topology. $\square$

Lemma I.4. — *There is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. — We'll start with the easiest part, namely the fact that $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger})^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{Q}_p$. If $x \in (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger})^{\varphi=1}$, then [2, prop 3.2] shows that actually $x \in (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger})^{\varphi=1}$, and the latter space is well-known to be $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

Let us now show that if $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$, then there exists $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$ such that $(1 - \varphi)\beta = \alpha$. By [2, lemma 2.18], one can write $\alpha = \alpha^+ + \alpha^-$ with $\alpha^+ \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$ and $\alpha^- \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}$. It is therefore enough to show that $1 - \varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$ and $1 - \varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}$ are surjective.

The first assertion follows from the facts that $1 - \varphi : \mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^+$ is surjective (see [9, thm 5.3.7, ii]) and that $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^n(\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^+)$.

The second assertion follows from the facts that $1 - \varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ is surjective and that if $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ is such that $(1 - \varphi)\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}$, then $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}$. $\square$

If K and n are such that Γ_n is torsion-free, then we will construct maps $h_{K_n, V}^1$ such that $\text{cor}_{K_{n+1}/K_n} \circ h_{K_{n+1}, V}^1 = h_{K_n, V}^1$. If Γ_n is no longer torsion free, we'll therefore define $h_{K_n, V}^1$ by the relation $h_{K_n, V}^1 = \text{cor}_{K_{n+1}/K_n} \circ h_{K_{n+1}, V}^1$. In the following proposition, we therefore assume that Γ_K is torsion free (and therefore procyclic), and we let γ denote a topological generator of Γ_K . If M is a Γ_K -module, it is customary to write M_{Γ_K} for $M/\text{im}(\gamma - 1)$.

Proposition I.5. — *If $y \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$, and $b \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ is a solution of the equation $(\gamma - 1)(\varphi - 1)b = (\varphi - 1)y$, then the formula*

$$h_{K, V}^1(y) = \log_p^0(\chi(\gamma)) \left[\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma - 1} y - (\sigma - 1)b \right]$$

defines a map $h_{K, V}^1 : \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)_{\Gamma_K}^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$ which does not depend on the choice of a generator γ of Γ_K , and if $y \in \mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1} \subset \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$, then $h_{K, V}^1(y)$ coincides with the cocycle constructed in [6, I.5].

Proof. — Our construction closely follows [6, I.5]; to simplify the proof, we can assume that $\log_p^0(\chi(\gamma)) = 1$. The fact that if we start from a different γ , then the two $h_{K, V}^1$ we get are the same is left as an easy exercise for the reader.

We will check that the formula makes sense and that everything is well-defined. If $y \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$, then $(\varphi - 1)y \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=0}$. By lemma I.3, there exists $x \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=0}$ such that $(\gamma - 1)x = (\varphi - 1)y$. By lemma I.4, there exists $b \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes V$ such that $(\varphi - 1)b = x$. We then define $h_{K, V}^1(y) \in H^1(K, V)$ by the formula:

$$h_{K, V}^1(y)(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma - 1} y - (\sigma - 1)b.$$

Notice that, a priori, $h_{K, V}^1(y) \in H^1(K, \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$, but

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi - 1)h_{K, V}^1(y)(\sigma) &= \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma - 1}(\varphi - 1)y - (\sigma - 1)(\varphi - 1)b \\ &= \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma - 1}(\gamma - 1)x - (\sigma - 1)x \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $h_{K, V}^1(y)(\sigma) \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger)^{\varphi=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V = V$. In addition, two different choices of b differ by an element of $(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger)^{\varphi=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V = V$, and therefore give rise to two cohomologous cocycles.

It is clear that if $y \in \mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1} \subset \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$, then $h_{K, V}^1(y)$ coincides with the cocycle constructed in [6, I.5], and that if $y \in (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$, then $h_{K, V}^1(y) = 0$. $\square$

II. Explicit formulas for exponential maps

The goal of this chapter is to give explicit formulas for Bloch-Kato's maps for a p -adic representation V , in terms of the (φ, Γ) -module $\mathbf{D}(V)$ attached to V . Throughout, V will be assumed to be crystalline.

Recall that (cf [6, III.2] or [2, 2.4] for example) we have maps $\varphi^{-n} : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \rightarrow F_n[[t]]$ which are characterized by the fact that π maps to $\varepsilon^{(n)} e^{t/p^n} - 1$. If $z \in F_n((t)) \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then the constant coefficient (i.e. the coefficient of t^0) of z will be denoted by $\partial_V(z) \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. This notation should not be confused with that for the derivation map ∂ .

We will make frequent use of the following fact:

Lemma II.1. — *If $y \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+[1/t] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then for any $m \geq n \geq 0$, the element $p^{-m} \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-m}(y)) \in F_n \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ does not depend on m and we have:*

$$p^{-m} \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-m}(y)) = \begin{cases} p^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) \partial_V(y) & \text{if } n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. — Recall that $\varphi^{-m}(y) = (1 \otimes \varphi^{-m})y(\varepsilon^{(m)} e^{t/p^m} - 1)$, and that $\psi(y) = y$ means that

$$(1 \otimes \varphi)y((1 + T)^p - 1) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\eta^p=1} y(\eta(1 + T) - 1).$$

The lemma then follows from the fact that if $m \geq 2$, then the conjugates of $\varepsilon^{(m)}$ under $\text{Gal}(F_m/F_{m-1})$ are the $\eta \varepsilon^{(m)}$, where $\eta^p = 1$, while if $m = 1$, then the conjugates of $\varepsilon^{(1)}$ under $\text{Gal}(F_1/F)$ are the η , where $\eta^p = 1$ but $\eta \neq 1$. $\square$

We will henceforth assume that $\log_p(\chi(\gamma_n)) = p^n$, so that $\log_p^0(\chi(\gamma_n)) = 1$, and in addition $\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1)$ acts on F_n by p^{-n} .

II.1. Bloch-Kato's exponential map

The goal of this paragraph is to show how to compute Bloch-Kato's map in terms of the (φ, Γ) -module of V . Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, let ∇_i be the operator acting on $F_n((t))$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$ defined in I.1. If $y \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then the fact that $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ implies (cf [3] for example) that $t^h y \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)$, so that if $y = \sum_{i=0}^d y_i \otimes d_i \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1}$, then

$$\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) = \sum_{i=0}^d t^h \partial^h y_i \otimes d_i \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=1}.$$

One can then apply the operator $h_{F_n, V}^1$ to $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y)$, and the main result of this paragraph is:

Theorem II.2. — If $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1}$, then

$$h_{F_n,V}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y)) = (-1)^{h-1}(h-1)! \begin{cases} \exp_{F_n,V}(p^{-n}\partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y))) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \exp_{F,V}((1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\partial_V(y)) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. — Because the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{n+1} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_{n+1},V}} & H^1(F_{n+1}, V) \\ \text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow & & \text{cor}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow \\ F_n \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_n,V}} & H^1(F_n, V) \end{array}$$

is commutative, it is enough to prove the theorem under the further assumption that Γ_n is torsion free. Let us then set $y_h = \nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y)$. The cocycle $h_{F_n,V}^1(y_h)$ is defined by

$$h_{F_n,V}^1(y_h)(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma-1}{\gamma_n-1}y_h - (\sigma-1)b_{n,h}$$

where $b_{n,h}$ is a solution of the equation $(\gamma_n-1)(\varphi-1)b_{n,h} = (\varphi-1)y_h$. In lemma II.3 below, we will prove that:

$$\nabla_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n-1}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \subset \left(\frac{t}{\varphi^n(\pi)} \right)^i (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0}.$$

It is then clear that if one sets

$$z_{n,h} = \nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n-1}(\varphi-1)y,$$

then $z_{n,h} \in (t/\varphi^n(\pi))^h(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \subset \varphi^n(\pi^{-h})\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=0} \subset \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=0}$.

Let $q_n = \varphi^{n-1}(q) = \varphi^n(\pi)/\varphi^{n-1}(\pi)$; by lemma II.4 (which will be stated and proved below), there exists an element $b_{n,h} \in \varphi^{n-1}(\pi^{-h})\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ such that $(\varphi - q_n^h)(\varphi^{n-1}(\pi^h)b_{n,h}) = \varphi^n(\pi^h)z_{n,h}$, so that $(1-\varphi)b_{n,h} = z_{n,h}$ with $b_{n,h} \in \varphi^{n-1}(\pi^{-h})\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$.

If we set

$$w_{n,h} = \nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n-1}y,$$

then $w_{n,h}$ and $b_{n,h} \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ and the cocycle $h_{F_n,V}^1(y_h)$ is then given by the formula $h_{F_n,V}^1(y_h)(\sigma) = (\sigma-1)(w_{n,h} - b_{n,h})$. Now, $(\varphi-1)b_{n,h} = z_{n,h}$ and $(\varphi-1)w_{n,h} = z_{n,h}$ as well, so that $w_{n,h} - b_{n,h} \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\varphi=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$.

We can also write $h_{F_n,V}^1(y_h)(\sigma) = (\sigma-1)(\varphi^{-n}(w_{n,h}) - \varphi^{-n}(b_{n,h}))$. Since we know that $b_{n,h} \in \varphi^{n-1}(\pi^{-h})\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$, we have $\varphi^{-n}(b_{n,h}) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$. By definition of the Bloch-Kato exponential, the theorem will follow from the fact that:

$$\varphi^{-n}(w_{n,h}) - (-1)^{h-1}(h-1)!p^{-n}\partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V.$$

In order to show this, first notice that $\varphi^{-n}(y) - \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) \in tF_n[[t]] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. We can therefore write $\frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n - 1} \varphi^{-n}(y) = p^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) + tz_1$ and a simple recurrence shows that

$$\nabla_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\nabla_0}{1 - \gamma_n} \varphi^{-n}(y) = (-1)^{i-1} (i-1)! p^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) + t^i z_i,$$

with $z_i \in F_n[[t]] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. By taking $i = h$, we see that $\varphi^{-n}(w_{n,h}) - (-1)^{h-1} (h-1)! p^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$, since we chose h such that $t^h \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$. $\square$

We will now prove the following two technical lemmas which were used above:

Lemma II.3. — *If $n \geq 1$, then $\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1)(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \subset (t/\varphi^n(\pi))(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0}$ so that if $i \geq 1$, then:*

$$\nabla_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \frac{\nabla_0}{\gamma_n - 1} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \subset \left(\frac{t}{\varphi^n(\pi)} \right)^i (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0}.$$

Proof. — Since $\nabla_i = t \cdot d/dt - i$, the second claim follows easily from the first one. By the standard properties of p -adic holomorphic functions, what we need to do is to show that if $x \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0}$, then $(\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1)x)(\varepsilon^{(m)} - 1) = 0$ for all $m \geq n+1$.

On the one hand, up to a scalar factor, one has for $m \geq n+1$: $(\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1)x)(\varepsilon^{(m)} - 1) = \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} x(\varepsilon^{(m)} - 1)$ as can be seen from the fact that $\nabla_0/(\gamma_n - 1) = \lim_{\Gamma \ni \eta \rightarrow 1} (\eta - 1)/(\gamma_n - 1) \cdot \log_p^{-1}(\chi(\eta))$. On the other hand, the fact that $\psi(x) = 0$ implies that for every $m \geq 2$, $\text{Tr}_{F_m/F_{m-1}} x(\varepsilon^{(m)} - 1) = 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma II.4. — *If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$, then there exists $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ such that $(\varphi - q_n^h)\beta = \alpha$.*

Proof. — By [2, prop 2.19] applied to the case $r = 0$, the ring $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ is dense in $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ for the Fréchet topology. Hence, if $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$, then there exists $\alpha_0 \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ such that $\alpha - \alpha_0 = \varphi^n(\pi^h)\alpha_1$ with $\alpha_1 \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$. The map $\varphi - q_n^h : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ is surjective, because $\varphi - q_n^h : \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ is surjective, as can be seen by reducing modulo p . One can therefore write $\alpha_0 = (\varphi - q_n^h)\beta_0$. Finally (see the proof of lemma I.4), there exists $\beta_1 \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ such that $\alpha_1 = (\varphi - 1)\beta_1$, so that $\varphi^n(\pi^h)\alpha_1 = (\varphi - q_n^h)(\varphi^{n-1}(\pi^h)\beta_1)$. $\square$

II.2. Bloch-Kato's dual exponential map

In the previous paragraph, we showed how to compute Bloch-Kato's exponential map for V . We shall now do the same for the dual exponential map. The starting point is Kato's formula, which we recall below:

Proposition II.5. — *If V is a de Rham representation, then the map from $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$ to $H^1(F, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$ defined by $x \mapsto [g \mapsto \log(\chi(\bar{g}))x]$ is an isomorphism, and the dual exponential map $\exp_{V^*(1)}^* : H^1(F, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$ is equal to the composition of the map $H^1(F, V) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$ with the inverse of this isomorphism.*

Let us point out that the image of $\exp_{V^*(1)}^*$ is included in $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$ and that its kernel is $H_g^1(F, V)$. Let us return to a crystalline representation V of G_F . We then have the following formula, which is to be found in [6, IV.2.1]:

Theorem II.6. — *If $y \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$, then*

$$\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^*(h_{F_n, V}^1(y)) = \begin{cases} p^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) \partial_V(y) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. — Since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(F_{n+1}, V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_{n+1}, V^*(1)}^*} & F_{n+1} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \\ \text{cor}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow & & \text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow \\ H^1(F_n, V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^*} & F_n \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \end{array}$$

is commutative, we only need to prove the theorem when Γ_n is torsion free. We then have

$$h_{F_n, V}^1(y)(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma_n - 1} y - (\sigma - 1)b,$$

where $(\gamma_n - 1)(\varphi - 1)b = (\varphi - 1)y$. Since $b \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$, there exists $m \gg 0$ such that $b \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r_m} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$. Recall that (cf [2, 2.4]) the map φ^{-m} embeds $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r_m}$ into $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$. We can then write

$$h^1(y)(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma_n - 1} \varphi^{-m}(y) - (\sigma - 1) \varphi^{-m}(b),$$

and $\varphi^{-m}(b) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$. In addition, $\varphi^{-m}(y) \in F_m((t)) \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ and $\gamma_n - 1$ is invertible on $t^k F_m \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ for every $k \neq 0$. This shows that the cocycle $h_{F_n, V}^1(y)$ is cohomologous in $H^1(F_n, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$ to

$$\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma_n - 1} (\partial_V \varphi^{-m}(y))$$

which is itself cohomologous (since $\gamma_n - 1$ is invertible on $F_m^{\text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n}=0}$) to

$$\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma_n - 1} (p^{n-m} \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-m}(y))) = \sigma \mapsto p^{-n} \log(\chi(\bar{\sigma})) p^{n-m} \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-m}(y)).$$

It follows from this and Kato's formula (proposition II.5) that

$$\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^*(h_{F_n, V}^1(y)) = p^{-m} \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-m}(y)) = \begin{cases} p^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi^{-n}(y)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) \partial_V(y) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

II.3. Iwasawa theory of p -adic representations

In this specific paragraph, V can be taken to be an arbitrary representation of G_K . Recall that the Iwasawa cohomology groups $H_{Iw}^i(K, V)$ are defined by $H_{Iw}^i(K, V) = \mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} H_{Iw}^i(K, T)$ where T is any G_K -stable lattice of V , and

$$H_{Iw}^i(K, T) = \varprojlim_{\text{cor } K_{n+1}/K_n} H^i(K_n, T).$$

Each of the $H^i(K_n, T)$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_p[\Gamma/\Gamma_n]$ -module, and $H_{Iw}^i(K_n, T)$ is then endowed with the structure of a Λ -module where $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Delta]] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma_1]]$. The $H_{Iw}^i(K, V)$ have been studied in detail by Perrin-Riou, who proved the following:

Proposition II.7. — *If V is a p -adic representation of G_K , then $H_{Iw}^i(K, V) = 0$ whenever $i \neq 1, 2$. In addition:*

- (1) *the torsion sub-module of $H_{Iw}^1(K, V)$ is a $\mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda$ -module isomorphic to V^{H_K} , and then $H_{Iw}^1(K, V)/V^{H_K}$ is a free $\mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda$ -module of rank $[K : \mathbf{Q}_p]d$;*
- (2) $H_{Iw}^2(K, V) = V(-1)^{H_K}$.

If $y \in \mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$, then the sequence of $\{h_{F_n, V}^1(y)\}_n$ is compatible for the corestriction maps, and therefore defines an element of $H_{Iw}^1(K, T)$. The following theorem is due to Fontaine and is proved in [6, II.1]:

Theorem II.8. — *The map $y \mapsto \varprojlim_n h_{K_n, V}^1(y)$ defines an isomorphism from $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$ to $H_{Iw}^1(K, T)$ and from $\mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1}$ to $H_{Iw}^1(K, V)$.*

Notice that $V^{H_K} \subset \mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1}$, and it is its $\mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda$ -torsion submodule. In addition, it is shown in [6, II.3] that the modules $\mathbf{D}(V)/(\psi - 1)$ and $H_{Iw}^2(K, V)$ are naturally isomorphic. One can nicely summarize the results of this paragraph as follows:

Corollary II.9. — *The complex of $\mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(V) \xrightarrow{1-\psi} \mathbf{D}(V) \longrightarrow 0$$

computes the Iwasawa cohomology of V .

II.4. Perrin-Riou's exponential map

By using the results of the previous paragraphs, we can give a “uniform” formula for the image of $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1}$ in $H^1(F_n, V(j))$ under the composition of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1} &\xrightarrow{\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0} \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\otimes e_j} \\ &\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V(j))^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{h_{F_n, V(j)}^1} H^1(F_n, V(j)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem II.10. — *If $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1}$, and $h \geq 1$ is such that $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then for all j with $h + j \geq 1$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} h_{F_n, V(j)}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j) = \\ (-1)^{h+j-1}(h+j-1)! \begin{cases} \exp_{F_n, V(j)}(p^{-n} \partial_{V(j)}(\varphi^{-n}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t^{-j} e_j))) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \exp_{F, V(j)}((1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) \partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t^{-j} e_j)) & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

while if $h + j \leq 0$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_{F_n, V^*(1-j)}^*(h_{F_n, V(j)}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j)) = \\ (-h-j)!^{-1} \begin{cases} p^{-n} \partial_{V(j)}(\varphi^{-n}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t^{-j} e_j)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) \partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t^{-j} e_j) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. — If $h + j \geq 1$, then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow{\otimes e_j} & \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V(j))^{\psi=1} \\ \nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0 \uparrow & & \nabla_{h+j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0 \uparrow \\ (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{-j} \otimes t^{-j} e_j} & (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(j)))^{\psi=1}. \end{array}$$

and the theorem is then a straightforward consequence of theorem II.2 applied to $\partial^{-j} y \otimes t^{-j} e_j$, $h + j$ and $V(j)$ (which are the j -th twists of y , h and V).

If on the other hand $h + j \leq 0$, and Γ_n is torsion free, then theorem II.6 shows that

$$\exp_{F_n, V^*(1-j)}^*(h_{F_n, V(j)}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j)) = p^{-n} \partial_{V(j)}(\varphi^{-n}(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j))$$

in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(j))$, and a short computation involving Taylor series shows that

$$p^{-n} \partial_{V(j)}(\varphi^{-n}(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j)) = (-h-j)!^{-1} p^{-n} \partial_{V(j)}(\varphi^{-n}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t^{-j} e_j)).$$

Finally, to get the case $n = 0$, one just needs to corestrict. □

We shall now use the above result to construct Perrin-Riou's exponential map. One has an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^h t^k \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-k}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \bigoplus_{k=0}^h \left(\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)}{1-p^k\varphi} \right)(k) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\Delta(f) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^h \partial^k(f)(0)$. If $f \in ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0}$, then there exists $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1}$ such that $f = (1-\varphi)y$, and $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y)$ does not depend upon the choice of such a y (unless $\mathbf{Q}_p(h) \subset V$), and one deduces from this a well-defined map (if $\mathbf{Q}_p(k) \subset V$, we therefore require that $h \geq k+1$):

$$\Omega_{V,h} : ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=1},$$

given by $\Omega_{V,h}(f) = \nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y)$.

Theorem II.11. — *If V is a crystalline representation and $h \geq 1$ is such that we have $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then the map*

$$\Omega_{V,h} : ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=1} / V^{H_F}$$

which takes $x \in ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0}$ to $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0((1-\varphi)^{-1}(x))$ is well-defined and coincides with Perrin-Riou's exponential map.

Proof. — The map $\Omega_{V,h}$ is well defined because the kernel of $1-\varphi$ is killed by $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0$, except for $t^h \otimes (t^{-h}e_h)$, which is mapped to $\mathbf{Q}_p(h) \subset V^{H_F}$.

The fact that $\Omega_{V,h}$ coincides with Perrin-Riou's exponential map follows directly from theorem II.10 above applied to those j 's for which $h+j \geq 1$, compared with [12, 3.2.3] (see remark II.15 however). $\square$

Remark II.12. — By the above remarks, if V is a crystalline representation and $h \geq 1$ is such that we have $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_p(h) \not\subset V$, then the map

$$\Omega_{V,h} : ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=1}$$

which takes $x \in ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0}$ to $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0((1-\varphi)^{-1}(x))$ is well-defined, without having to kill the Λ -torsion of $H_{Iw}^1(F, V)$.

Remark II.13. — It is clear from theorem II.10 that we have:

$$\Omega_{V,h}(x) \otimes e_j = \Omega_{V(j),h+j}(\partial^{-j}x \otimes t^{-j}e_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{V,h}(\nabla_h(x)) = \Omega_{V,h+1}(x),$$

and following Perrin-Riou, one can use these formulas to extend the definition of $\Omega_{V,h}$ to all $h \in \mathbf{Z}$.

II.5. The explicit reciprocity formula

In this paragraph, we shall recall Perrin-Riou's explicit reciprocity formula. There is a map $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_F) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ which sends $f(\gamma)$ to $f(\gamma)(1 + \pi)$. This map is a bijection and its inverse is the Mellin transform so that if $g(\pi) \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$, then $g(\pi) = \text{Mel}(g)(1 + \pi)$. See [14, B.2.8] for a reference, where Perrin-Riou has also extended Mel to $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^\dagger)^{\psi=0}$. If $f, g \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^\dagger$ then we define $f * g$ by the formula $\text{Mel}(f * g) = \text{Mel}(f) \text{Mel}(g)$. Let $[-1] \in \Gamma_F$ be the element such that $\chi([-1]) = -1$, and let ι be the involution of Γ_F which sends γ to γ^{-1} . The operator ∂^j on $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ corresponds to Tw_j on Γ_F . For instance, it is a bijection. We will make use of the facts that $\iota \circ \partial^j = \partial^{-j} \circ \iota$ and that $[-1] \circ \partial^j = (-1)^j \partial^j \circ [-1]$.

If V is a crystalline representation, then the natural maps

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{F/\mathbf{Q}_p}} \mathbf{Q}_p$$

allow us to define a perfect pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]_V : \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \times \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1))$ which we extend by linearity to

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_V : (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=0} \times (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1)))^{\psi=0} \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$$

by the formula $[f(\pi) \otimes d_1, g(\pi) \otimes d_2]_V = (f * g)(\pi)[d_1, d_2]_V$.

We can also define a semi-linear (with respect to ι) pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi=1} \times \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V^*(1))^{\psi=1} \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$$

by the formula

$$\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_V = \varprojlim_n \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma_F / \Gamma_n} \langle \tau^{-1}(h_{F_n, V}^1(y_1)), h_{F_n, V^*(1)}^1(y_2) \rangle_{F_n, V} \cdot \tau(1 + \pi)$$

where the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{F_n, V}$ is given by the cup product:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{F_n, V} : H^1(F_n, V) \times H^1(F_n, V^*(1)) \rightarrow H^2(F_n, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \simeq \mathbf{Q}_p.$$

The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ satisfies the relation $\langle \gamma_1 x_1, \gamma_2 x_2 \rangle_V = \gamma_1 \iota(\gamma_2) \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_V$. Perrin-Riou's explicit reciprocity formula (proved by Colmez [7], Benois [1] and Kato-Kurihara-Tsuji (unpublished)) is then:

Theorem II.14. — *If $x_1 \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ and $x_2 \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1)))^{\psi=0}$, then for every h , we have:*

$$(-1)^h \langle \Omega_{V, h}(x_1), [-1] \cdot \Omega_{V^*(1), 1-h}(x_2) \rangle_V = -[x_1, \iota(x_2)]_V.$$

Proof. — By the theory of p -adic interpolation, it is enough to prove that if $x_i = (1 - \varphi)y_i$ with $y_1 \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1}$ and $y_2 \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1)))^{\psi=1}$ then for all $j \gg 0$:

$$(\partial^{-j}(-1)^h \langle \Omega_{V,h}(x_1), [-1] \cdot \Omega_{V^*(1),1-h}(x_2) \rangle_V) (0) = - (\partial^{-j}[x_1, \iota(x_2)]_V) (0).$$

The above formula is equivalent to (a):

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^h \langle h_{F,V(j)}^1 \Omega_{V(j),h+j}(\partial^{-j}x_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j), (-1)^j h_{F,V^*(1-j)}^1 \Omega_{V^*(1-j),1-h-j}(\partial^j x_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j}) \rangle_{F,V(j)} \\ = -[\partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j}x_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j), \partial_{V^*(1-j)}(\partial^j x_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j})]_{V(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining theorems II.10 and II.11 with remark II.13 we see that for $j \gg 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{F,V(j)}^1 \Omega_{V(j),h+j}(\partial^{-j}x_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j) \\ = (-1)^{h+j-1} \exp_{F,V(j)}((h+j-1)!(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j}y_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j)), \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{F,V^*(1-j)}^1 \Omega_{V^*(1-j),1-h-j}(\partial^j x_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j}) \\ = (\exp_{F,V^*(1-j)}^*)^{-1} (h+j-1)!^{-1} ((1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\partial_{V^*(1-j)}(\partial^j y_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j})). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that by definition, if $x \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(j))$ and $y \in H^1(F, V(j))$ then

$$[x, \exp_{F,V^*(1-j)}^* y]_{V(j)} = \langle \exp_{F,V(j)} x, y \rangle_{F,V(j)},$$

we see that (b):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{F,V(j)}^1 \Omega_{V(j),h+j}(\partial^{-j}x_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j), h_{F,V^*(1-j)}^1 \Omega_{V^*(1-j),1-h-j}(\partial^j x_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j}) \rangle_{F,V(j)} \\ = (-1)^{h+j-1} [(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j}y_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j), (1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\partial_{V^*(1-j)}(\partial^j y_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j})]_{V(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that under $[\cdot, \cdot]$, the adjoint of $(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})$ is $1-\varphi$, and that if $x_i = (1-\varphi)y_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j}x_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j) &= (1-\varphi)\partial_{V(j)}(\partial^{-j}y_1 \otimes t^{-j}e_j), \\ \partial_{V^*(1-j)}(\partial^j x_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j}) &= (1-\varphi)\partial_{V^*(1-j)}(\partial^j y_2 \otimes t^j e_{-j}), \end{aligned}$$

so that (b) implies (a), and this proves the theorem. $\square$

Remark II.15. — One should be careful with all the signs involved in those formulas. Perrin-Riou has changed the definition of the ℓ_i operators from [12] to [13] (the new ℓ_i is minus the old ℓ_i). The reciprocity formula which is stated in [13, 4.2.3] does not seem (to me) to have the correct sign. On the other hand, the formulas of [1, 7] do seem to give the correct signs, but one should be careful that [7, IX.4.5] uses a different definition for one of

the pairings, and that the signs in [6, IV.3.1] and [7, VII.1.1] disagree. Our definitions of $\Omega_{V,h}$ and of the pairing agree with Perrin-Riou's ones (as they are given in [13]).

Appendix A

The structure of $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$

The goal of this paragraph is to prove a theorem which says that for a crystalline representation V , $\mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1}$ is quite “small”. See theorem A.3 for a precise statement.

Let V be a crystalline representation of G_F and let T denote a G_F -stable lattice of V . The following proposition, which improves slightly upon the results of N. Wach [15], is proved in detail in [3]:

Proposition A.1. — *If T is a lattice in a positive crystalline representation V , then there exists a unique sub $\mathbf{A}_F^+$ -module $N(T)$ of $\mathbf{D}^+(T)$, which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $N(T)$ is free of rank d ;
- (2) $N(T)$ is stable under the action of Γ_F ;
- (3) the action of Γ_F is trivial on $N(T)/\pi N(T)$;
- (4) there exists an integer $s \geq 0$ such that $\pi^s \mathbf{D}^+(T) \subset N(T)$.

In this case, $N(T)$ is stable by φ , and the sub $\mathbf{B}_F^+$ -module $N(V) = N(T) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_F^+} \mathbf{B}_F^+$ of $\mathbf{D}^+(V)$ satisfies the corresponding conditions.

Notice that $N(T(-1)) = \pi N(T) \otimes e_{-1}$. When V is no longer positive, we therefore define $N(T)$ as $\pi^{-h} N(T(-h)) \otimes e_h$, for h large enough so that $V(-h)$ is positive.

Proposition A.2. — *If T is a lattice in a crystalline representation V of G_F , whose Hodge-Tate weights are in $[a; b]$, then $N(T)$ is the unique sub- $\mathbf{A}_F^+$ -module of $\mathbf{D}^+(T)[1/\pi]$ which is free of rank d , stable by Γ_F with the action of Γ_F trivial on $N(T)/\pi N(T)$, and such that $N(T)[1/\pi] = \mathbf{D}^+(T)[1/\pi]$.*

In addition, we have $\varphi(\pi^b N(T)) \subset \pi^b N(T)$ and $\pi^b N(T)/\varphi^(\pi^b N(T))$ is killed by q^{b-a} . The functor $T \mapsto N(T)$ is an equivalence of categories between the category of lattices in crystalline representations and the category of modules satisfying all the above conditions.*

We shall now show that $\mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1}$ is not very far from being included in $N(V)$. Indeed:

Theorem A.3. — *If V is a crystalline representation of G_F , whose Hodge-Tate weights are in $[a; b]$, then $\mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^{a-1} N(V)$.*

If in addition V has no quotient isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}_p(a)$, then $\mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^a N(V)$.

Before we prove the above statement, we will need a few results concerning the action of ψ on $\mathbf{D}(T)$. In lemmas A.5 through A.7, we will assume that the Hodge-Tate weights of V are ≥ 0 . In particular, $N(T) \subset \varphi^*N(T)$ so that $\psi(N(T)) \subset N(T)$.

Lemma A.4. — *If $m \geq 1$, then there exists a polynomial $Q_m(X) \in \mathbf{Z}_p[X]$ such that $\psi(\pi^{-m}) = \pi^{-m}(p^{m-1} + \pi Q_m(\pi))$.*

Proof. — By the definition of ψ , it is enough to show that if $m \geq 1$, there exists a polynomial $Q_m(X) \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\eta^p=1} \frac{1}{(\eta(1+X)-1)^m} = \frac{p^{m-1} + ((1+X)^p - 1)Q_m((1+X)^p - 1)}{((1+X)^p - 1)^m},$$

which is left to the reader. $\square$

Lemma A.5. — *If $k \geq 1$, then $\psi(p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-(k+1)}N(T)) \subset p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-k}N(T)$. In addition, $\psi(p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-1}N(T)) \subset p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-1}N(T)$.*

Proof. — If $x \in N(T)$, then one can write $x = \sum \lambda_i \varphi(x_i)$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{A}_F^+$ and $x_i \in N(T)$, so that $\psi(\pi^{-(k+1)}x) = \sum \psi(\pi^{-(k+1)}\lambda_i)x_i$. By the preceding lemma, $\psi(\pi^{-(k+1)}\lambda_i) \in p\mathbf{A}_F + \pi^{-k}\mathbf{A}_F^+$ whenever $k \geq 1$. The lemma follows easily, and the second claim is proved in the same way. $\square$

Lemma A.6. — *If $k \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ has the property that $\psi(x) - x \in p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-k}N(T)$, then $x \in p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-k}N(T)$.*

Proof. — Let ℓ be the smallest integer ≥ 0 such that $x \in p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-\ell}N(T)$. If $\ell \leq k$, then we are done and otherwise lemma A.5 shows that $\psi(x) \in p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-(\ell-1)}N(T)$, so that $\psi(x) - x$ would be in $p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-\ell}N(T)$ but not $p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-(\ell-1)}N(T)$, a contradiction if $\ell > k$. $\square$

Lemma A.7. — *We have $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^{-1}N(T)$.*

Proof. — We shall prove by induction that $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1} \subset p^k\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-1}N(T)$ for $k \geq 1$. Let us start with the case $k = 1$. If $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$, then there exists some $j \geq 1$ such that $x \in p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-j}N(T)$. If $j = 1$ we are done and otherwise the fact that $\psi(x) = x$ combined with lemma A.5 shows that j can be decreased by 1. This proves our claim for $k = 1$.

We will now assume our claim to be true for k and prove it for $k + 1$. If $x \in \mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$, we can therefore write $x = p^k y + n$ where $y \in \mathbf{D}(T)$ and $n \in \pi^{-1}N(T)$. Since $\psi(x) = x$, we have $\psi(n) - n = p^k(\psi(y) - y)$ so that $\psi(y) - y \in \pi^{-1}N(T)$ (this is because $p^k\mathbf{D}(T) \cap N(T) =$

$p^k N(T)$). By lemma A.6, this implies that $y \in p\mathbf{D}(T) + \pi^{-1}N(T)$, so that we can write $x = p^k(py' + n') + n = p^{k+1}y' + (p^kn' + n)$, and this proves our claim.

Finally, it is clear that our claim implies the lemma: if one can write $x = p^ky_k + n_k$, then the n_k will converge for the p -adic topology to a $n \in \pi^{-1}N$ such that $x = n$. $\square$

Proof of theorem A.3. — Clearly, it is enough to show that if T is a G_F -stable lattice of V , then $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^{a-1}N(T)$. It is also clear that we can twist V as we wish, and we shall now assume that the Hodge-Tate weights of V are in $[0; h]$. In this case, the theorem says that $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1} \subset \pi^{-1}N(T)$, which is the content of lemma A.7 above.

Let us now prove that if a positive V has no quotient isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}_p$, then actually $\mathbf{D}(T)^{\psi=1} \subset N(T)$. Recall that $N(T) \subset \varphi^*(N(T))$, since the Hodge-Tate weights of V are ≥ 0 , so that if $e_1, \dots, e_d$ is a basis of $N(T)$, then there exists $q_{ij} \in \mathbf{A}_F^+$ such that $e_i = \sum_{j=1}^d q_{ij}\varphi(e_j)$. If $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i e_i$, with $\alpha_i \in \pi^{-1}\mathbf{A}_F^+$, then this translates into $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i q_{ij}) = \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Let $\alpha_{i,n}$ be the coefficient of π^n in α_i , and likewise for $q_{ij,n}$. Since $\psi(1/\pi) = 1/\pi$, the equations $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i q_{ij}) = \alpha_j$ then tell us that for $1 \leq j \leq d$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_{i,-1} q_{ij,0} = \varphi(\alpha_{j,-1}).$$

Since $N(V)/\pi N(V) \simeq \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ as filtered φ -modules (cf the appendix to [3]), the above equations say that 1 is an eigenvalue of φ on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. It is easy to see that if a representation has ≥ 0 weights and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1} \neq 0$, then V has a quotient isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}_p$. $\square$

Remark A.8. — It is proved in [3] that $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F N(V))^{G_F}$ and that if $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then $(t/\pi)^h \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F N(V)$.

In all the above constructions, one could therefore replace $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^+(V)$ by $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \pi^h N(V)$. For example, the image of $\Omega_{V,h}$ is included in $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \pi^h N(V))^{\psi=1}$.

References

- [1] Benois D.: *On Iwasawa theory of crystalline representations*. Duke Math. J. 104 (2000) 211–267.
- [2] Berger L.: *Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles*. Invent. Math. 148 (2002), 219–284.
- [3] Berger L.: *Limites de représentations cristallines*. Preprint available on my webpage.
- [4] Bloch S., Kato K.: *L -functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*. The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, 333–400, Progr. Math. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA 1990.
- [5] Cherbonnier F., Colmez P.: *Représentations p -adiques surconvergentes*. Invent. Math. 133 (1998), 581–611.

- [6] Cherbonnier F., Colmez P.: *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques d'un corps local*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 241–268.
- [7] Colmez P.: *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations de de Rham d'un corps local*. Ann. of Math. 148 (1998), 485–571.
- [8] Colmez P.: *Représentations cristallines et représentations de hauteur finie*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 514 (1999), 119–143.
- [9] Fontaine J-M.: *Le corps des périodes p -adiques*. Périodes p -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), Astérisque 223 (1994) 59–111.
- [10] Fontaine J-M.: *Représentations p -adiques semi-stables*. Périodes p -adiques, (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), Astérisque 223 (1994) 113–184.
- [11] Fontaine J-M.: *Représentations p -adiques des corps locaux I*. The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 249–309, Progr. Math. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA 1990.
- [12] Perrin-Riou B.: *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques sur un corps local*. Invent. Math. 115 (1994) 81–161.
- [13] Perrin-Riou B.: *Théorie d'Iwasawa et loi explicite de réciprocité*. Doc. Math. 4 (1999), 219–273 (electronic).
- [14] Perrin-Riou B.: *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques semi-stables*. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) No. 84 (2001), vi+111 pp.
- [15] Wach N.: *Représentations p -adiques potentiellement cristallines*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 124 (1996), 375–400.
- [16] Wach N.: *Représentations cristallines de torsion*. Compositio Math. 108 (1997) 185–240.

February 1, 2008

LAURENT BERGER, Harvard Dept of Mathematics, One Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138-2901, USA
E-mail : laurent@math.harvard.edu • *Url* : www.math.harvard.edu/~laurent